

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/562,154	BOGER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mark L. Shevin	1793	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark L. Shevin.

(2) Richard L. Schwaab.

**Date of Interview:** 19 February 2008

**Status of Application:** Pending first action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:20 pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I called Mr. Schwaab on 19 February 2008 to describe the a restriction requirement but one was not made. A representative from Foley and Lardner LLP called me on 20 February 2008 at 9:20 am to request a written restriction.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark L. Shevin/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)